

CR-05 - Goals and Outcomes

Progress the jurisdiction has made in carrying out its strategic plan and its action plan. 91.520(a)

This could be an overview that includes major initiatives and highlights that were proposed and executed throughout the program year.

Similar to previous years, the 2021 CAPER reflects the expenditures and uses of three sources of entitlement funding from the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) and will report on activities that were identified in the 2021 Annual Action Plan. Each year the St. Louis County HOME Consortium develops an Annual Action Plan based on community input and a known amount of funding from HUD. This report provides a summary of the activities carried out from January 1, 2021 through December 31, 2021 with the funds from HUD.

Despite the continuing challenges created by the COVID-19 pandemic, agencies who received CDBG, HOME, and ESG funds from the St. Louis County HOME Consortium completed the following in 2021 (including some activities funded in previous fiscal years):

- Developed 5 units of for-sale housing units in order to provide affordable housing to low- and moderate-income households in St. Louis County.
- Assisted 74 County residents with acquiring their first home by providing them with down payment and closing cost assistance; down payment and closing cost assistance was provided to an additional 67 homebuyers throughout the Consortium jurisdictions, which include the counties of Jefferson and St. Charles and the cities of Florissant and O'Fallon.
- Assisted 145 homeowners with necessary home improvements that, in many cases, helped the homeowner stay in their homes.
- Provided homelessness prevention services to 1,380 people.
- Provided emergency shelter services to 1,455 people.
- Continued to market its Section 108 Loan Program and work with the Urban League for the construction of a mixed-use community center in Dellwood.

Comparison of the proposed versus actual outcomes for each outcome measure submitted with the consolidated plan and explain, if applicable, why progress was not made toward meeting goals and objectives. 91.520(g)

Categories, priority levels, funding sources and amounts, outcomes/objectives, goal outcome indicators, units of measure, targets, actual outcomes/outputs, and percentage completed for each of the grantee's program year goals.

Goal	Category	Source / Amount	Indicator	Unit of Measure	Expected – Strategic Plan	Actual – Strategic Plan	Percent Complete	Expected – Program Year	Actual – Program Year	Percent Complete
CDBG General Program Administration			Public service activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Persons Assisted	1	0	0.00%			
CHDO For-Sale New Construction or Rehabilitation	Affordable Housing		Homeowner Housing Added	Household Housing Unit	50	0	0.00%			
CHDO For-Sale New Construction or Rehabilitation	Affordable Housing		Homeowner Housing Rehabilitated	Household Housing Unit	12	0	0.00%			
CHDO Operating Support	Affordable Housing		Other	Other	15	0	0.00%			
CHDO Rental New Construction or Rehabilitation	Affordable Housing		Rental units constructed	Household Housing Unit	20	0	0.00%			
Clearance and Demolition	Removal of Slum and Blight		Buildings Demolished	Buildings	175	4289	2,450.86%	38	1454	3,826.32%

Code Enforcement	Non-Housing Community Development		Public service activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Persons Assisted	0	0		26705	0	0.00%
Code Enforcement	Non-Housing Community Development		Housing Code Enforcement/Foreclosed Property Care	Household Housing Unit	125000	0	0.00%			
Direct Homebuyer Assistance - Consortium Members	Affordable Housing		Direct Financial Assistance to Homebuyers	Households Assisted	500	0	0.00%			
Direct Homebuyer Assistance - St. Louis County	Affordable Housing		Direct Financial Assistance to Homebuyers	Households Assisted	625	0	0.00%			
Florissant Lead Program	Affordable Housing		Other	Other	25	0	0.00%			
For-Sale New Construction or Rehabilitation	Affordable Housing		Homeowner Housing Added	Household Housing Unit	30	0	0.00%			
For-Sale New Construction or Rehabilitation	Affordable Housing		Homeowner Housing Rehabilitated	Household Housing Unit	10	0	0.00%			
HOME General Program Administration	Administration		Other	Other	5	0	0.00%			

Housing - Minor Home Repair	Affordable Housing		Homeowner Housing Rehabilitated	Household Housing Unit	1180	195	16.53%	308	133	43.18%
Interim Assistance	Non-Housing Community Development		Public service activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Persons Assisted	500	0	0.00%			
Interim Assistance	Non-Housing Community Development		Other	Other	0	0		2595	0	0.00%
Public Facilities and Improvements	Non-Housing Community Development		Public Facility or Infrastructure Activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Persons Assisted	1000	0	0.00%	1090	0	0.00%
Public Facilities and Improvements - ADA	Non-Homeless Special Needs Non-Housing Community Development		Public Facility or Infrastructure Activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Persons Assisted	250	3483	1,393.20%	5108	3483	68.19%
Public Facilities and Improvements - Parks	Non-Housing Community Development		Public Facility or Infrastructure Activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Persons Assisted	12500	0	0.00%	3530	0	0.00%
Public Facilities and Improvements - Sidewalks	Non-Housing Community Development		Public Facility or Infrastructure Activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Persons Assisted	175000	7034	4.02%	59370	7034	11.85%

Public Facilities and Improvements - Streets	Non-Housing Community Development		Public Facility or Infrastructure Activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Persons Assisted	225000	18025	8.01%	23789	18025	75.77%
Public Housing Coordination	Affordable Housing		Public Facility or Infrastructure Activities for Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Households Assisted	100	0	0.00%			
Public Services			Public service activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Persons Assisted	100000	0	0.00%	37050	0	0.00%
Public Services - Crime Awareness	Non-Housing Community Development		Public service activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Persons Assisted	3000	20815	693.83%	6110	20815	340.67%
Public Services - Disability Services	Non-Housing Community Development		Public service activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Persons Assisted	500	0	0.00%	100	0	0.00%
Public Services - Employment Training	Non-Housing Community Development		Public service activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Persons Assisted	495	0	0.00%	165	0	0.00%
Public Services - Fair Housing Activities			Public service activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Persons Assisted	1500	713287	47,552.47%	300	713287	237,762.33%

Public Services - Health Services	Non-Housing Community Development		Public service activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Persons Assisted	5000	0	0.00%	2400	0	0.00%
Public Services - Senior Services	Non-Homeless Special Needs Non-Housing Community Development		Public service activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Persons Assisted	5150	0	0.00%	8012	0	0.00%
Public Services - Youth Services	Non-Housing Community Development		Public service activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Persons Assisted	1000	0	0.00%	335	0	0.00%
Rehabilitation Administration	Non-Housing Community Development		Homeowner Housing Rehabilitated	Household Housing Unit	1	0	0.00%			
Rental New Construction or Rehabilitation	Affordable Housing		Rental units constructed	Household Housing Unit	30	0	0.00%			
St. Charles County Lead Program	Affordable Housing		Other	Other	30	0	0.00%			

Table 1 - Accomplishments – Program Year & Strategic Plan to Date

Assess how the jurisdiction’s use of funds, particularly CDBG, addresses the priorities and specific objectives identified in the plan,

giving special attention to the highest priority activities identified.

CR-10 - Racial and Ethnic composition of families assisted

Describe the families assisted (including the racial and ethnic status of families assisted).

91.520(a)

	CDBG	HOME	ESG
White	10,110	136	323
Black or African American	2,230	223	1,111
Asian	604	1	7
American Indian or American Native	23	0	2
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	15	1	4
Total	12,982	361	1,447
Hispanic	165	4	52
Not Hispanic	12,817	357	1,395

Table 2 – Table of assistance to racial and ethnic populations by source of funds

Narrative

CDBG, HOME and ESG funds serve all of the unincorporated areas of St. Louis County and the participating municipalities within the county. The figures above reflect the demographic and ethnic characteristics of individuals and households that benefit from one of these programs. In 2021, 77% of persons receiving ESG assistance were Black or African American households, 22% were white and less than 1% were Asian, American Indian, Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander.

CR-15 - Resources and Investments 91.520(a)

Identify the resources made available

Source of Funds	Source	Resources Made Available	Amount Expended During Program Year
CDBG	public - federal	5,793,588	3,162,780
HOME	public - federal	3,763,948	
ESG	public - federal	483,239	

Table 3 - Resources Made Available

Narrative

Identify the geographic distribution and location of investments

Target Area	Planned Percentage of Allocation	Actual Percentage of Allocation	Narrative Description
24:1 Service Area	15		
Lemay	10		
St. Louis County Promise Zone	24		Other

Table 4 – Identify the geographic distribution and location of investments

Narrative

Leveraging

Explain how federal funds leveraged additional resources (private, state and local funds), including a description of how matching requirements were satisfied, as well as how any publicly owned land or property located within the jurisdiction that were used to address the needs identified in the plan.

Fiscal Year Summary – HOME Match	
1. Excess match from prior Federal fiscal year	0
2. Match contributed during current Federal fiscal year	0
3. Total match available for current Federal fiscal year (Line 1 plus Line 2)	0
4. Match liability for current Federal fiscal year	0
5. Excess match carried over to next Federal fiscal year (Line 3 minus Line 4)	0

Table 5 – Fiscal Year Summary - HOME Match Report

Match Contribution for the Federal Fiscal Year								
Project No. or Other ID	Date of Contribution	Cash (non-Federal sources)	Foregone Taxes, Fees, Charges	Appraised Land/Real Property	Required Infrastructure	Site Preparation, Construction Materials, Donated labor	Bond Financing	Total Match

Table 6 – Match Contribution for the Federal Fiscal Year

HOME MBE/WBE report

Program Income – Enter the program amounts for the reporting period				
Balance on hand at begin-ning of reporting period \$	Amount received during reporting period \$	Total amount expended during reporting period \$	Amount expended for TBRA \$	Balance on hand at end of reporting period \$
0	0	0	0	0

Table 7 – Program Income

Minority Business Enterprises and Women Business Enterprises – Indicate the number and dollar value of contracts for HOME projects completed during the reporting period						
	Total	Minority Business Enterprises				White Non-Hispanic
		Alaskan Native or American Indian	Asian or Pacific Islander	Black Non-Hispanic	Hispanic	
Contracts						
Dollar Amount	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sub-Contracts						
Number	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dollar Amount	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Total	Women Business Enterprises	Male			
Contracts						
Dollar Amount	0	0	0			
Number	0	0	0			
Sub-Contracts						
Number	0	0	0			
Dollar Amount	0	0	0			

Table 8 - Minority Business and Women Business Enterprises

Minority Owners of Rental Property – Indicate the number of HOME assisted rental property owners and the total amount of HOME funds in these rental properties assisted						
	Total	Minority Property Owners				White Non-Hispanic
		Alaskan Native or American Indian	Asian or Pacific Islander	Black Non-Hispanic	Hispanic	
Number	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dollar Amount	0	0	0	0	0	0

Table 9 – Minority Owners of Rental Property

Relocation and Real Property Acquisition – Indicate the number of persons displaced, the cost of relocation payments, the number of parcels acquired, and the cost of acquisition						
Parcels Acquired		0		0		
Businesses Displaced		0		0		
Nonprofit Organizations Displaced		0		0		
Households Temporarily Relocated, not Displaced		0		0		
Households Displaced	Total	Minority Property Enterprises				White Non-Hispanic
		Alaskan Native or American Indian	Asian or Pacific Islander	Black Non-Hispanic	Hispanic	
Number	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cost	0	0	0	0	0	0

Table 10 – Relocation and Real Property Acquisition

CR-20 - Affordable Housing 91.520(b)

Evaluation of the jurisdiction's progress in providing affordable housing, including the number and types of families served, the number of extremely low-income, low-income, moderate-income, and middle-income persons served.

	One-Year Goal	Actual
Number of Homeless households to be provided affordable housing units	0	0
Number of Non-Homeless households to be provided affordable housing units	0	0
Number of Special-Needs households to be provided affordable housing units	0	0
Total	0	0

Table 11 – Number of Households

	One-Year Goal	Actual
Number of households supported through Rental Assistance	0	0
Number of households supported through The Production of New Units	127	0
Number of households supported through Rehab of Existing Units	44	0
Number of households supported through Acquisition of Existing Units	271	0
Total	442	0

Table 12 – Number of Households Supported

Discuss the difference between goals and outcomes and problems encountered in meeting these goals.

Discuss how these outcomes will impact future annual action plans.

Include the number of extremely low-income, low-income, and moderate-income persons

served by each activity where information on income by family size is required to determine the eligibility of the activity.

Number of Households Served	CDBG Actual	HOME Actual
Extremely Low-income	0	0
Low-income	0	0
Moderate-income	0	0
Total	0	0

Table 13 – Number of Households Served

Narrative Information

CR-25 - Homeless and Other Special Needs 91.220(d, e); 91.320(d, e); 91.520(c)
Evaluate the jurisdiction's progress in meeting its specific objectives for reducing and ending homelessness through:

Reaching out to homeless persons (especially unsheltered persons) and assessing their individual needs

Saint Louis County reaches out to unsheltered persons through its Crisis Intervention Teams (CIT) which deliver street outreach to the entire CoC geographic area, 24 hours a day/7 days a week. Teams are comprised of specially trained officers from surrounding municipal police departments, mental health providers, substance abuse facilities, hospitals, local colleges & universities, service providers & crisis response professionals. In 2020, the St. Louis County Police Department established its Homeless Outreach Program, dedicating a specially trained officer to focus on homeless outreach. In 2021, a second CIT officer was added. The two person team was responsible for connecting unhoused people needing medical care with Mercy hospital in-patient and out-patient services. They also helped connect persons being discharged from the hospital to available shelter beds. The Homeless Outreach program also did work to quickly connect older persons at-risk for homelessness to the services and supports necessary to sustain their housing. This included linking seniors with COVID testing to accelerate their placement into assisted living and other suitable housing options. An overflow program, operated by Loaves and Fishes of St. Louis, was a resource enabling outreach teams to connect unhoused individuals with shelter. While individuals were in the overflow program, staff worked to connect them with the appropriate interventions based on the clients' assessment and the CoC's available resources.

The CIT teams, Homeless Outreach Officers and the Overflow Program all worked together to develop relationships with persons unhoused in St. Louis County, to identify the barriers preventing them from accessing services and resolve their crisis. The outreach teams can divert homeless individuals from arrest or incarceration. They provide assistance to individuals not likely to seek help, thereby decreasing the number of calls to the police department related to problems associated with the unhoused population

Addressing the emergency shelter and transitional housing needs of homeless persons

The COVID-19 pandemic continues to impact the operation of Saint Louis County's shelter and transitional housing programs due to the mitigation protocols necessitated by the pandemic. Each emergency shelter and transitional housing provider was required to create and implement a COVID 19 emergency response plan outlining the steps being taken to ensure their facilities were clean, safe and sanitary; adhering to all available CDC guidance. In addition, Saint Louis County continued to provide quarantine and isolation sites to provide services to homeless persons in the CoC needing care. Referrals to the sites were made by local Healthcare providers, shelter staff, outreach workers and community members. Individuals were cared for by onsite shelter staff who provided medications and food delivery for the duration of their quarantine/illness. Ongoing dialogue with the local Homeless

Management Information System (HMIS) provider ensured that those that exited Quarantine/Isolation against medical advice could be targeted for engagement/encouragement to return to Quarantine/Isolation. The protocols implemented by St. Louis County have prevented mass instances of COVID 19 and/or deaths amongst the unhoused.

In 2021, the CoC revised its Coordinated Entry procedures to facilitate 24/7 access to emergency shelter by working in collaboration with the CIT Officers and outreach teams. Under the new protocols, CIT teams encountering persons without housing after normal business hours can link the individuals to a shelter bed by contacting the Overflow shelter provider. The overflow shelter will provide temporary housing while helping the person connect with the Homeless Helpline the next day. By contacting the Helpline, the individual will gain access to the full ranges of services available within the CoC.

Saint Louis County used ESG funds to operate eight shelters in 2020. Each facility operated as a low barrier, emergency shelter providing shelter, showers, laundry, meals, and case management and housing location services on a daily basis. In 2021, case managers participated in Trauma Informed Care and Motivational Interviewing training. Both sessions provided staff with skill-building instruction on methods for working effectively with clients to develop healthy coping skills while setting and achieving goals that lead to stable housing and self sufficiency.

Helping low-income individuals and families avoid becoming homeless, especially extremely low-income individuals and families and those who are: likely to become homeless after being discharged from publicly funded institutions and systems of care (such as health care facilities, mental health facilities, foster care and other youth facilities, and corrections programs and institutions); and, receiving assistance from public or private agencies that address housing, health, social services, employment, education, or youth needs

St. Louis County continues to use US Department of Treasury funds as its primary tool to prevent homelessness for low income individuals and others adversely affected by the pandemic. The Emergency Rental Assistance Program provides the County with the resources necessary to offer financial assistance to both landlords and tenants to resolve rent payment issues. Under the program, funds to cover rental debt, utility costs, moving expenses, security deposits, rental applications or screening fees.. Households experiencing economic hardship related to the pandemic were prioritized for services. Policies and procedures prioritized persons for assistance who are within 14 days of their losing their housing or experiencing a utility disconnection. Households were identified as low, very low or extremely low income based on the area median income measures, and prioritized for services accordingly. In addition, St. Louis County allocated \$500,000 in homeless prevention funds from its CARES Act funding. This assistance money will be spent in 2022.

According to the Missouri Discharge Policy, all individuals discharged from a state or public facilities are discharged into permanent housing. When permanent housing is not available, plans to place the individual in temporary or emergency shelter must be made prior to discharge. To advance compliance with this policy, the CoC is working collaboratively with (1) the Behavioral Health Network

(BHN) to ensure clients leaving mental health facilities have viable discharge plans that include the supports necessary to enable them to maintain their health and housing. BHN is a collaborative of mental health and substance abuse service providers, government leaders and community advocates dedicated to developing an accessible and coordinated system of behavioral healthcare. (2) state and local agencies.

Helping homeless persons (especially chronically homeless individuals and families, families with children, veterans and their families, and unaccompanied youth) make the transition to permanent housing and independent living, including shortening the period of time that individuals and families experience homelessness, facilitating access for homeless individuals and families to affordable housing units, and preventing individuals and families who were recently homeless from becoming homeless again

Saint Louis County is committed to the development of safe, affordable housing options to enable persons experiencing homelessness to transition to permanent housing and independent living, and has demonstrated this commitment through the creation of a 5 year Strategic Plan for Investments in Housing and Homeless Services. In collaboration with the Housing Authority of Saint Louis County and other key stakeholders, the Saint Louis County Department of Human Services has developed a plan which defines specific priorities to be addressed in relation to housing stability, expanding access to housing, facilitating mobility for persons to areas of opportunity and the development of the County's, Anewly established, Affordable Housing Task Force as a new revenue source for affordable housing. The plan specifically outlines strategies for improving housing stability which includes identifying gaps in programming that is preventing households from obtaining stable housing.

In addition, the Saint Louis County Continuum of Care (CoC) continues to work to improve its Coordinated Entry System (CES) to ensure persons needing housing assistance are quickly connected to interventions that can end their homelessness. A new, streamlined, CES began in 2021. The modified system operates with improved efficiency making the engagement and referral process easier to manage, while shortening the length of time needed to match individuals with the appropriate placement interventions. The new workflow prioritizes clients within the CoC and more effectively links them with services. Newly introduced to the process are Housing Navigators who work to engage clients in order to help shepherd them through barriers to housing, increase income levels and obtain supports needed to return to housing.

CR-30 - Public Housing 91.220(h); 91.320(j)

Actions taken to address the needs of public housing

In 2020, St. Louis County provided the Housing Authority of St. Louis County (HASLC) with \$100,000 in CDBG funds to assist families, particularly those residing in properties owned by negligent landlords, with relocation. The grant was extended into 2021 and the funds have been used to offset moving costs, security deposits, and similar expenses. Specifically, funds were used for the rental of moving vehicles; security deposit and first month rent; utility set-up; application, occupancy and inspection fees; and storage fees.

In 2021, the Housing Authority was awarded HOME funds from St. Louis County for the development costs of a brand-new complex at the Arbor Hill Apartments, a public housing complex located in Maryland Heights. Through the use of Low Income Housing Tax Credits and the HOME funds, the public housing units will be converted to low-income housing.

Also in 2021, OCD continued to work with and pay a subsidiary of HASLC to own and maintain vacant homes and lots that were acquired through the Neighborhood Stabilization Program (NSP). This partnership allowed HASLC to earn money while assisting the County with its NSP inventory.

Actions taken to encourage public housing residents to become more involved in management and participate in homeownership

Each year, OCD promotes its down payment assistance program at the offices of the Housing Authority, which can be used to help transition into becoming single-family homeowners.

Actions taken to provide assistance to troubled PHAs

There are no troubled PHA's in the Consortium area.

CR-35 - Other Actions 91.220(j)-(k); 91.320(i)-(j)

Actions taken to remove or ameliorate the negative effects of public policies that serve as barriers to affordable housing such as land use controls, tax policies affecting land, zoning ordinances, building codes, fees and charges, growth limitations, and policies affecting the return on residential investment. 91.220 (j); 91.320 (i)

Actions taken to address obstacles to meeting underserved needs. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

Actions taken to reduce lead-based paint hazards. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

Actions taken to reduce the number of poverty-level families. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

Actions taken to develop institutional structure. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

Actions taken to enhance coordination between public and private housing and social service agencies. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

Identify actions taken to overcome the effects of any impediments identified in the jurisdictions analysis of impediments to fair housing choice. 91.520(a)

CR-40 - Monitoring 91.220 and 91.230

Describe the standards and procedures used to monitor activities carried out in furtherance of the plan and used to ensure long-term compliance with requirements of the programs involved, including minority business outreach and the comprehensive planning requirements

ESG funded projects are monitored annually on a programmatic, fiscal and data management level to ensure all eligible activities are being carried out according to federal guidelines. For programmatic and fiscal monitoring, a St. Louis County staff person visits the funded agency (during the pandemic this meeting has been virtual); for data management, the HMIS lead visits the funded agency. If a project is deemed not in compliance with with regulations, a corrective action plan is created with additional visits scheduled to ensure corrective actions are taking place.

Though the COVID pandemic and the resulting relief funding and processes have caused a delay in the normal monitoring schedule, ESG funded projects will continue to be monitored on programmatic, fiscal and data management levels to ensure all eligible activities are being carried out according to federal guidelines as a desk review. In-person visits to agencies or client homes have been suspended until health officials and Saint Louis County leadership determine it is safe to resume meeting with individuals face to face.

St. Louis County Office of Homeless Services monitors all ESG funded programs and activities. Staff monitors specifically for compliance with ESG regulations ensuring activity eligibility and congruance with CoC goals and objectives. Staff verify programs are using resources efficiently and effectively to address participants needs. A standardized monitoring worksheet is used to document the project's compliance with Housing First protocols, Coordinated Entry policies and procedures and HMIS participation. A fiscal review is also performed to ensure the agency is utilizing funds in an appropriate manner, documenting expenditures accurately and expending funds at the expected rate. If an agency review determines a project is not in compliance with established regulations, staff will work with the subrecipient to define a corrective plan and schedule additional visits to the agency to ensure the corrective action plan is being implemented. Finally, the CoC's Rank and Review committee reviews each project's performance on a monthly basis to assess how it impacts the CoC System Performance Measures. When project outcomes are below the expected metric, a notification is sent to St. Louis County staff to alert them to the need for technical assistance for program staff.

Citizen Participation Plan 91.105(d); 91.115(d)

Describe the efforts to provide citizens with reasonable notice and an opportunity to comment on performance reports.

CR-45 - CDBG 91.520(c)

Specify the nature of, and reasons for, any changes in the jurisdiction’s program objectives and indications of how the jurisdiction would change its programs as a result of its experiences.

The mission of the Office of Community Development is to assist participating communities, other County departments, non-profit agencies and low and moderate income persons by providing funding and technical assistance and support to plan and carry out projects consistent with the Consolidated Plan goals and federal program objectives. At the end of fiscal year 2021 St Louis County has, through its administration of the CDBG program, fulfilled and met the major goals outlined in the 2021– 2025 Consolidated Plan, although not necessarily utilizing only 2021 funds. Our objectives have not changed.

The County’s ability to meet anticipated needs in each community is subject to a variety of factors that are not necessarily within the control of the County. For example, the inclination or capacity of a community plays a major role in when projects are completed. Additionally, many of the participating municipalities receive very little funding from St. Louis County to undertake projects in their jurisdiction. Participating communities do not often have the capacity to provide additional funding for activities and may choose to delay a project until more funds are available.

In response to these factors, we are bolstering our strategy for supporting our municipal partners and subrecipients. Tactics include offering regular technical assistance workshops and conducting monitoring visits with the goal of building relationships and working together to overcome performance challenges. Upcoming projects will include revising our subrecipient manual and forms. We are also exploring changes to our allocation formula, which may include calculating municipal allocations as a percentage of our total allocation, revising the factors that comprise the calculation, and transitioning to bi-annual allocations, wherein municipalities receive twice the amount of CDBG funds every other year, with some receiving their funds in odd years and some receiving funds in even years. Because we are fully committed to transparency and fairness, we will engage the public and our partners regarding proposed changes to the allocation formula and allow ample opportunity for discussion and feedback.

Does this Jurisdiction have any open Brownfields Economic Development Initiative (BEDI) grants?

No

[BEDI grantees] Describe accomplishments and program outcomes during the last year.

CR-50 - HOME 91.520(d)

Include the results of on-site inspections of affordable rental housing assisted under the program to determine compliance with housing codes and other applicable regulations

Please list those projects that should have been inspected on-site this program year based upon the schedule in §92.504(d). Indicate which of these were inspected and a summary of issues that were detected during the inspection. For those that were not inspected, please indicate the reason and how you will remedy the situation.

Provide an assessment of the jurisdiction's affirmative marketing actions for HOME units. 92.351(b)

Refer to IDIS reports to describe the amount and use of program income for projects, including the number of projects and owner and tenant characteristics

Describe other actions taken to foster and maintain affordable housing. 91.220(k) (STATES ONLY: Including the coordination of LIHTC with the development of affordable housing). 91.320(j)

CR-60 - ESG 91.520(g) (ESG Recipients only)

ESG Supplement to the CAPER in *e-snaps*

For Paperwork Reduction Act

1. Recipient Information—All Recipients Complete

Basic Grant Information

Recipient Name	ST. LOUIS COUNTY
Organizational DUNS Number	075913061
EIN/TIN Number	436003242
Identify the Field Office	ST LOUIS
Identify CoC(s) in which the recipient or subrecipient(s) will provide ESG assistance	St. Louis County CoC

ESG Contact Name

Prefix	Ms
First Name	Linda

CAPER

24

Middle Name 0
Last Name Huntspon
Suffix 0
Title Interim Family and Community Services Director

ESG Contact Address

Street Address 1 500 Northwest Plaza Dr., Suite 800
Street Address 2 0
City St Ann
State MO
ZIP Code 63132-
Phone Number 3146150379
Extension 0
Fax Number 0
Email Address lhuntspon@stlouiscountymo.gov

ESG Secondary Contact

Prefix Ms
First Name Chaunceia
Last Name Mayfield
Suffix 0
Title Program Manager
Phone Number 3146157258
Extension 0
Email Address CMayfield@stlouiscountymo.gov

2. Reporting Period—All Recipients Complete

Program Year Start Date 01/01/2021
Program Year End Date 12/31/2021

3a. Subrecipient Form – Complete one form for each subrecipient

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: OUR LADY'S INN
City: Saint Louis
State: MO
Zip Code: 63111, 1129
DUNS Number: 103198974
Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N
Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization
ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 20000

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: ROOM AT THE INN

City: Bridgeton

State: MO

Zip Code: 63044, 2604

DUNS Number: 361173193

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 22000

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: SAVALTION ARMY-FAMILY HAVEN

City: Saint Louis

State: MO

Zip Code: 63139, 3147

DUNS Number: 126161855

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 25000

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: LOAVES AND FISHES

City: Maryland Heights

State: MO

Zip Code: 63043, 1651

DUNS Number: 603583592

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 123000

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: GATEWAY 180

City: Saint Louis

State: MO

Zip Code: 63106, 3515

DUNS Number: 610774007

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 20000

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: Employment Connection
City: Saint Louis
State: MO
Zip Code: 63103, 2524
DUNS Number: 085901866
Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N
Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization
ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 137869

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: Peter and Paul
City: Saint Louis
State: MN
Zip Code: 63118, 2402
DUNS Number: 194124103
Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N
Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization
ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 18000

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: St. Martha Hall
City: Saint Louis
State: MO
Zip Code: 63108, 2403
DUNS Number: 019747323
Is subrecipient a victim services provider: Y
Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization
ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 10000

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: Epworth Children & Family Services
City: Saint Louis
State: MO
Zip Code: 63119, 2418
DUNS Number: 020373114
Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N
Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization
ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 78029

CR-65 - Persons Assisted

4. Persons Served

4a. Complete for Homelessness Prevention Activities

Number of Persons in Households	Total
Adults	
Children	
Don't Know/Refused/Other	
Missing Information	
Total	

Table 16 – Household Information for Homeless Prevention Activities

4b. Complete for Rapid Re-Housing Activities

Number of Persons in Households	Total
Adults	
Children	
Don't Know/Refused/Other	
Missing Information	
Total	

Table 17 – Household Information for Rapid Re-Housing Activities

4c. Complete for Shelter

Number of Persons in Households	Total
Adults	
Children	
Don't Know/Refused/Other	
Missing Information	
Total	

Table 18 – Shelter Information

4d. Street Outreach

Number of Persons in Households	Total
Adults	
Children	
Don't Know/Refused/Other	
Missing Information	
Total	

Table 19 – Household Information for Street Outreach

4e. Totals for all Persons Served with ESG

Number of Persons in Households	Total
Adults	
Children	
Don't Know/Refused/Other	
Missing Information	
Total	

Table 20 – Household Information for Persons Served with ESG

5. Gender—Complete for All Activities

	Total
Male	
Female	
Transgender	
Don't Know/Refused/Other	
Missing Information	
Total	

Table 21 – Gender Information

6. Age—Complete for All Activities

	Total
Under 18	
18-24	
25 and over	
Don't Know/Refused/Other	
Missing Information	
Total	

Table 22 – Age Information

7. Special Populations Served—Complete for All Activities

Number of Persons in Households

Subpopulation	Total	Total Persons Served – Prevention	Total Persons Served – RRH	Total Persons Served in Emergency Shelters
Veterans				
Victims of Domestic Violence				
Elderly				
HIV/AIDS				
Chronically Homeless				
Persons with Disabilities:				
Severely Mentally Ill				
Chronic Substance Abuse				
Other Disability				
Total (unduplicated if possible)				

Table 23 – Special Population Served

CR-70 – ESG 91.520(g) - Assistance Provided and Outcomes

10. Shelter Utilization

Number of New Units - Rehabbed	0
Number of New Units - Conversion	0
Total Number of bed-nights available	62,681
Total Number of bed-nights provided	51,768
Capacity Utilization	82.59%

Table 24 – Shelter Capacity

11. Project Outcomes Data measured under the performance standards developed in consultation with the CoC(s)

The Outcome Data comparing FY20 to FY21 shows the following:

1. Length of Time Homeless - The data shows a slight decrease in the length of time individuals spent in an emergency shelter or transitional housing program. On average persons spent 38 days in emergency shelter. A decrease of 6 days compared to the previous year. Persons spent an average of 98 days in emergency shelter/transitional housing, which was also a decrease of 6 days compared to FY2020. The CoC has made significant progress in streamlining the coordinated entry process, eliminating bottlenecks that previously delayed housing people quickly.

2. Returns to Homelessness decreased by 2%, going from 9% in FY 2020 to 7% in FY 2021. An effort to strengthen case management has contributed to this success. Trauma-Informed Care and Motivational Interview training were both offered to case managers to assist them in knowing how to best support clients struggling to obtain or remain in housing.

3. Number of Sheltered Homeless Persons decreased by 10% in 2021 largely due to social distancing protocols that were implemented by housing providers.

4. The percentage of adults who increased their earned income prior to being discharged from a CoC funded project increased compared to 2020. The data shows 27% of persons leaving a project with an increase in earned income compared to 11% the prior year. Conversely, persons who remained in CoC projects through the end of the program year (stayers) experienced a slight decrease in their ability to increase their earned income. There was a decrease of 2% for this population. However, the same group was able to increase their total income at a higher rate. Compared to the previous year, the rate of increase grew by 5%.

5. The number of first time homeless in Saint Louis County decreased in 2021 by 10%.

7. The percentage of unhoused persons exiting street outreach to move on to more stable housing opportunities increased by 17%. However, the percentage of persons exiting emergency shelter, transitional housing or rapid rehousing for a permanent housing setting decreased by 13%. Exits from permanent supportive housing to another stable and permanent housing increased by 2% compared to the previous year.

CR-75 – Expenditures

11. Expenditures

11a. ESG Expenditures for Homelessness Prevention

	Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year		
	2019	2020	2021
Expenditures for Rental Assistance	1,950	0	0
Expenditures for Housing Relocation and Stabilization Services - Financial Assistance	0	0	0
Expenditures for Housing Relocation & Stabilization Services - Services	2,553	11,284	0
Expenditures for Homeless Prevention under Emergency Shelter Grants Program	0	0	0
Subtotal Homelessness Prevention	4,503	11,284	0

Table 25 – ESG Expenditures for Homelessness Prevention

11b. ESG Expenditures for Rapid Re-Housing

	Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year		
	2019	2020	2021
Expenditures for Rental Assistance	29,104	17,726	0
Expenditures for Housing Relocation and Stabilization Services - Financial Assistance	2,447	1,021	0
Expenditures for Housing Relocation & Stabilization Services - Services	46,044	16,788	0
Expenditures for Homeless Assistance under Emergency Shelter Grants Program	0	0	0
Subtotal Rapid Re-Housing	77,595	35,535	0

Table 26 – ESG Expenditures for Rapid Re-Housing

11c. ESG Expenditures for Emergency Shelter

	Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year		
	2019	2020	2021
Essential Services	45,007	54,012	0
Operations	49,260	74,453	0
Renovation	0	0	0

Major Rehab	0	0	0
Conversion	0	0	0
Subtotal	94,267	128,465	0

Table 27 – ESG Expenditures for Emergency Shelter

11d. Other Grant Expenditures

	Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year		
	2019	2020	2021
Street Outreach	12,411	20,249	0
HMIS	0	0	0
Administration	35,675	23,900	0

Table 28 - Other Grant Expenditures

11e. Total ESG Grant Funds

Total ESG Funds Expended	2019	2020	2021
	224,451	219,433	0

Table 29 - Total ESG Funds Expended

11f. Match Source

	2019	2020	2021
Other Non-ESG HUD Funds	0	0	0
Other Federal Funds	0	0	0
State Government	0	0	0
Local Government	35,675	23,900	0

Private Funds	0	0	0
Other	220,836	204,494	0
Fees	0	0	0
Program Income	0	0	0
Total Match Amount	256,511	228,394	0

Table 30 - Other Funds Expended on Eligible ESG Activities

11g. Total

Total Amount of Funds Expended on ESG Activities	2019	2020	2021
	480,962	447,827	0

Table 31 - Total Amount of Funds Expended on ESG Activities